

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,230	03/30/2004	Charles E. Taylor	SHPR-01361USN	8116	
23910 75	90 01/12/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FLIESLER M	•		VERSTEEG,	VERSTEEG, STEVEN H	
FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 400			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	CO, CA 94111		1753		

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del></del>		$-\mathcal{V}$			
	Appli ation No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/815,230	TAYLOR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Steven H VerSteeg	1753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover shet with the c	orrespondence addre	:SS			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 D</u>	ecember 2004					
	action is non-final.		•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the m	erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-12</u> is/are withdrawr						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Thom consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 <i>March 2004</i> is/are: a)</u> ☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '	1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119(a)	)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 60 5.5.5. 3 110(a)	, (a) or (i).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			ige			
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1)	4)					
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/04.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		2)			
. Spor Ito(s)/Itidii Date 12004,	o,					

Application/Control Number: 10/815,230 Page 2

Art Unit: 1753

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 9-12 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the removable collection element is an intermediate for the final product (i.e. air conditioner system) that can be used in another materially different apparatus such as a water purification system.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 9-12 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation that the "handle extends through said opening to provide access to said handle while sufficiently covering said opening to prevent a user from reaching through said opening and touching said electrodes" in claims 1-3, 7, 8, and 13 is considered to be new matter. The only possible support for such a limitation that I can see is in Figure 2B. However, Figure

Art Unit: 1753

2B does not provide any detail regarding prevention of touching electrodes. The limitations "in an upper portion" found in claim 8 and "opening in said housing" found in claim 13 are considered to be new matter. Figure 2B is the only location where I can find any support for the limitations. Figure 2B shows access from the top. The "upper portion" and "opening" are broader than the "top surface" claimed elsewhere. I don't feel there is sufficient support in Figure 2B for the broader interpretations.

#### Double Patenting

4. Applicant is advised that should claim 4 be found allowable, claim 5 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

#### Response to Amendment

- 5. The objection to the drawings presented in the office action mailed November 26, 2004 is withdrawn in light of the amendment.
- 6. The double patenting rejections presented in the office action mailed November 26, 2004 are withdrawn in light of the amendments to the claims.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

7. The reference crossed out on the IDS (FR 2690509) was not submitted in the instant application and also not submitted in the parent application. It is not listed on the face of the parent patent. Thus, the reference has not been considered.

Art Unit: 1753

### General Information

For general status inquiries on applications not having received a first action on the merits, please contact the Technology Center 1700 receptionist at (571) 272-1700.

For inquiries involving Recovery of lost papers & cases, sending out missing papers, resetting shortened statutory periods, or for restarting the shortened statutory period for response, please contact Denis Boyd at (571) 272-0992.

For general inquiries such as fees, hours of operation, and employee location, please contact the Technology Center 1700 receptionist at (571) 272-1300.

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1753

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven H VerSteeg whose telephone number is (571) 272-1348. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs (6:30 AM - 5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven H VerSteeg Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753

shv

January 11, 2005